

# Report of Standing Committee on the 2nd Call for Proposals of IFERC-CSC Helios Computer

#### 1. Second cycle of projects

Schedule for the evaluation of proposals for the 2nd Cycle of IFERC-CSC (337 days in the second cycle)

- June 2012: 2nd Call for Proposals published
- July 2012: Deadline for Proposals/ Start of evaluation of proposals
- 15 September 2012: End of evaluation of proposals
- 25-26 September 2012: 2nd StC meeting (Allocation of resources)
- 15 November 2012: Start of cycle
- 14 November 2013: End of cycle

#### 2. Proposals Received

- 81 proposals (51 EU + 30 JA) received ~ 270 users:
  - 25 proposals (19 EU + 6 JA) from new projects
  - 56 proposals (32 EU + 24 JA) from continuation of previous projects

#### 3. Refereeing of Proposals

- The grading of the projects were made through the peer review following the criteria of scientific excellence, relevance to fusion development (ITER & BA), efficient usage of super computer, and EU/JA collaborative aspects.
- Each proposal was assigned either: one internal Standing Committee EU referee + one external referee from JP; or one internal Standing Committee JP referee + one external referee from EU.

| Proposals | Internal Referee | External Referee |
|-----------|------------------|------------------|
| 39        | JP               | EU               |
| 42        | EU               | JP               |

#### 4. Resources

- Requested resources from proposals : 70.6 M node-hours
- Approximate estimation of available node-hours:
  337 x 24 (hours) x 4410 (nodes) = 35.7 M node-hours
  - · Supposing 4410 nodes all the time
  - · Supposing Helios used at 100 %



• StC: 80 % of total: 28.5 M node-hours

Requested/available: 250%

• Each Implementing Agency (EU and JA): 10% of total: 3.57 M node-hours

➤ StC Agreed an over allocation of around 25%

• Resources for StC projects: 35.66 M node hour

## 5. Standing Committee Membership

| Internal Referee | Affiliation<br>(as of Sep. 2012) | Proposals<br>Refereed |
|------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Duarte Borba     | EFDA                             | 9                     |
| Frank Jenko      | IPP                              | 9                     |
| Laurent Villard  | CRPP                             | 8                     |
| Tim Hender       | CCFE                             | 8                     |
| Yanick Sarazin   | CEA                              | 9                     |
| Atsushi Fukuyama | Kyoto University                 | 8                     |
| Masaru Furukawa  | Tokyo University                 | 9                     |
| Masatoshi Yagi   | Kyushu University/JAEA           | 9                     |
| Tomoaki Kunugi   | Kyoto University                 | 6                     |
| Yasushi Todo     | NIFS                             | 7                     |

### 6. Accepted Proposals and Time Allocation

- 74 Proposals were accepted and allocated 35.41 M Node Hours (50 % EU 50% JP)
- The Standing Committee proposes to accept submission of proposals during the cycle as foreseen in the Terms of Reference. These proposals will be refereed and allocated time within the JP and/or EU 10% quota.

## 7. Rejected Proposals

| Proposal    | Reason                             | Recommendation                        |
|-------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 3 Proposals | not clear if the proposal can make | to explore how the code can use the   |
|             | efficient use of the IFERC         | IFERC computer more efficiently       |
|             | computer                           | (i.e many more nodes > 128) submit a  |
|             |                                    | new proposal                          |
| 1 Proposal  | Benchmarking different codes       | should be carried out within EFDA     |
|             |                                    | ITM Taskforce EU 10% Quota            |
| 1 Proposal  | codes are still under development  | use the 10% EU quota allocated / seek |
|             |                                    | HLST advice                           |
| 1 Proposal  | aims mainly at testing and         | use the 10% EU quota allocated / seek |
|             | comparing codes                    | HLST advice                           |
|             |                                    |                                       |



| 1 Proposal | not clear if the proposal can make efficient use of the IFERC | submit a new proposal / explanation how why it requires large |
|------------|---|---|
|            | computer  | computation resources   |
| 1 Proposal | required software for this project is                         | invited to submit a new proposal,                             |
|            | not licensed in the IFERC computer                            | when the required software is                                 |
|            | at present  | installed and tested  |

## 8. Time Allocation per Topical Area

